

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

· APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,440	08/05/2003	Richard Novak	108430.025D	8291
26316	7590 06/29/2004		EXAMINER	
COZEN AND O'CONNOR 1900 MARKET STREET			MACARTHUR, SYLVIA	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		1763	
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.					
Office Action Summary	10/634,440	NOVAK ET AL.	,			
omoo Aodon odiniidiy	Examiner Subsia D MacArthur	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Sylvia R MacArthur	1763	Idrose -			
Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	iuress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. ommunication.			
Status		•				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 A	<i>pril 2004</i> .					
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
•						
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-8</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 August 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, priority arraer ee e.e. 3 (a)	(4) 5. (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
	 -					
application from the International Burea	·		Ü			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • •	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/634,440

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanaka et al (JP 2000-164552).

Regarding claim 1: Tanaka et al teaches a method and device for substrate processing comprising the steps of positioning a semiconductor W in a process tank 12, introducing a mixture of ozone and water via a sparger plate 14 and valve 54. Note the sparger is used to increase the flow velocity across the wafer while the wafer is submerged in DI water and ozone.

Regarding claim 6: The mixture of ozone and DI water is recirculated and flows back into the tank according to section [006] of the English Translation.

Regarding claim 7: Ozone is added to maintain the concentration of zone in the mixture according to section [010] of the English Translation.

Regarding claim 8: The mixture of DI water and ozone is agitated via the sparger, an ultrasonic generator 15 to assist see section [021].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/634,440

Art Unit: 1763

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka et al in view of Dryer et al (US 6,187,216).

The teachings of Tanaka were discussed above. Additionally Tanaka teaches that it is desired to maintain a processing temperature of 0-15deg. C by using a cooling means. Tanaka et al further teaches that this temperature yields a desired solubility of ozone in the DIO3 solution.

Tanaka fails to teach a processing temperature of ambient that is 20-21 deg C or above.

Dryer however teaches the use of coolers or heaters whichever is preferred to maintain the desired temperature and thus the desired concentration of ozone in solution. He further teaches that the composition that is concentration of a constituent, i.e. ozone and the temperature will vary extensively and it is an obvious matter of optimization.

Thus, it would have been obvious at the time of the claimed invention to maintain the process at ambient temperature in order to meet the desired concentration of ozone in solution as noted by Tanaka and Dryer.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-8 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,440

Art Unit: 1763

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sylvia R MacArthur whose telephone number is 571-272-1438. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F during the core hours of 8 a.m. and 2 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Mills can be reached on 703-308-1633. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,440

Art Unit: 1763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sylvia R MacArthur Patent Examiner Art Unit 1763

June 16, 2004

P. Hassanzodal primary Examiner AU 1763